

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

David J. Gaskey & Olds, PC   Carlson, Gaskey & Olds, PC   LAM, DUNG LE	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
David J. Gaskey         EXAMENT           Carlson, Gaskey & Olds, PC         LAM, DUNG LE           Suite 350         ART UNIT         PAPER NO.           400 West Maple Road         Birmingham, MI 48009         2617	10/686,451	10/15/2003	Christopher J. Capece	67,108-022; Capece 2-11	6861	
Carlson, Gaskey & Olds, PC Suite 350 400 West Maple Road Birmingham, MI 48009  LAM, DUNO LE ARTUNII PAPER NUI 2617				EXAM	EXAMINER	
400 West Maple Road Birmingham, MI 48009 2617	Carlson, Gaskey & Olds, PC			LAM, DUNG LE		
Birmingham, MI 48009 2617		de Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MAIL DATE DELIVERY				2617		
MAIL DATE DELIVERY						
02/15/2011 BARE					DELIVERY MODE	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/686,451	CAPECE ET AL.
Review	KENT CHANG	2617

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 10 November 2010. 1. Improper Request – The Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following reason(s): The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. Other: The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received. 2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable. The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3. Allowable application - A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. 4. Reopen Prosecution - A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. All participants: (1) KENT CHANG. (3) Aiit Patel (2) Dung Lam. (4) /Kent Chang/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617